Widespread occurrence and genetic diversity of marine parasitoids belonging to *Syndiniales* (*Alveolata*)
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**Summary**

*Syndiniales* are a parasitic order within the eukaryotic lineage *Dinophyceae* (*Alveolata*). Here, we analysed the taxonomy of this group using 43655 18S rRNA gene sequences obtained either from environmental data sets or cultures, including 6874 environmental sequences from this study derived from Atlantic and Mediterranean waters. A total of 5571 out of the 43655 sequences analysed fell within the *Dinophyceae*. Both bayesian and maximum likelihood phylogenies placed *Syndiniales* in five main groups (I–V), as a monophyletic lineage at the base of ‘core’ dinoflagellates (all *Dinophyceae* except *Syndiniales*), although the latter placement was not bootstrap supported. Thus, the two uncultured novel marine alveolate groups I and II, which have been highlighted previously, are confirmed to belong to the *Syndiniales*. These groups were the most diverse and highly represented in environmental studies. Within each, 8 and 44 clades were identified respectively.

Co-evolutionary trends between parasitic *Syndiniales* and their putative hosts were not clear, suggesting they may be relatively ‘general’ parasitoids. Based on the overall distribution patterns of the *Syndiniales*-affiliated sequences, we propose that *Syndiniales* are exclusively marine. Interestingly, sequences belonging to groups II, III and V were largely retrieved from the photic zone, while Group I dominated samples from anoxic and suboxic ecosystems. Nevertheless, both groups I and II contained specific clades preferentially, or exclusively, retrieved from these latter ecosystems. Given the broad distribution of *Syndiniales*, our work indicates that parasitism may be a major force in ocean food webs, a force that is neglected in current conceptualizations of the marine carbon cycle.

**Introduction**

The *Alveolata*, one of the major eukaryotic lineages, is composed of four protist classes: the *Ciliophora*, the *Apicomplexa*, the *Perkinsea* and the *Dinoflagellata*. *Alveolata* have adopted a large range of trophic modes and habitats. They can be important marine primary producers. For instance, about half of dinoflagellates are photosynthetic (Lessard and Swift, 1986), with some being responsible for toxic algal blooms. Ciliates and dinoflagellates can also be active predators; others are coral symbionts (e.g. the dinoflagellate *Symbiodinium* spp.) and some ciliates even reside in mammalian guts (Williams and Coleman, 1992). Finally, a large number of species are parasites, broadly distributed throughout these *Alveolata* classes. For instance, *Apicomplexa* is composed solely of obligate parasites. In addition to phylogenetic relatedness based on gene sequence comparisons, *Alveolata* are unified by specific morphological characters. These include the presence of membrane-bound flattened vesicles, termed alveoli (Cavalier-Smith, 1993; Patterson, 1999), distinct pores called micropores that pierce the outer membrane and the presence of a more or less developed apical complex apparatus used by alveolate parasites to enter their host (reviewed by Leander and Keeling, 2003).

The discovery of novel marine alveolate (MALV) lineages in marine planktonic communities by culture-independent techniques, specifically MALV groups I and II (Díez et al., 2001a; López-García et al., 2001; Moon-van der Staay et al., 2001), has raised questions regarding functional roles of these diverse populations. Phylogenetic analyses showed MALV Group II belongs to the *Syndiniales*, a dinoflagellate order exclusively composed of marine parasites. This assignment was based on the close phylogenetic relatedness of the environmental 18S
rRNA gene sequences (Moon-van der Staay et al., 2001; Skovgaard et al., 2005) with three previously described genera: *Amoebophrya* spp., *Hematodinium* spp. and *Syn- 
dinium* spp. More recently, 18S rRNA gene sequences derived from *Ichthyodinium chaberladi* (Gestal et al., 2006) and *Duboscquella* sp. (Harada et al., 2007), two parasitic *Syndiniales* genera, showed an affiliation with MALV Group I.

MALV groups I and II have been retrieved from various marine habitats, mainly from the picoplankton fraction (< 2 or < 3 μm size fractionated samples). These groups frequently form the majority of sequences within marine environmental clone libraries (see López-García et al., 2001; Moon-van der Staay et al., 2001; Massana et al., 2004; Romari and Vaulot, 2004; Not et al., 2007). This has led to a large increase in the number of MALV sequences deposited in GenBank over the last few years. In a previous study, Gros stillier and colleagues (2006) detected five distinct clades within MALV Group I, and 16 clades within MALV Group II. However, in that study, many sequences could not be clearly assigned to a specific clade, suggesting further discrete lineages might exist. Interestingly, some clades comprised sequences retrieved only from very specific habitats, such as anoxic environments or deep sea hydrothermal vents, while other clades contained sequences obtained from widely varying habitats.

In the present work our aims were (i) to broaden representation of the different environments in which members of the *Alveolata* are potentially encountered by constructing and sequencing 18S rRNA gene libraries; (ii) to clarify the phylogeny of the *Alveolata* using recently published and the new 18S rRNA gene sequences for this group; (iii) to undertake a rigorous review of clade organization within the above mentioned MALV groups I and II; (iv) to compare the genetic diversity of environmental sequences derived from culture-independent PCR surveys (targeting the 18S rRNA gene) to our present knowledge of the taxonomy of *Syndiniales*; and (v) to extract general information on the preferred habitats and distribution of specific members of the *Syndiniales*.

**Results**

**Sample sites and environmental conditions**

Most of the novel environmental sequences obtained in this study were retrieved from coastal and oceanic waters in the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea (Table 1). The collection sites varied in terms of season, depth and level of oligotrophy. For example, the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Station (BATS) is relatively oligotrophic, and at the time of sampling was already strongly stratified. In contrast, the northern Sargasso Sea Station, although relatively close to BATS, was likely still influenced by deep winter mixing that occurs in this area, bringing nutrients to surface waters (see Cuvelier et al., 2008 for further discussion). The Florida Straits sites represent three distinct water types. Station 1, on the western side of the Florida Straits, was relatively coastal. Station 4 represents the core of the Gulf Stream Current-forming waters, which are highly oligotrophic, while Station 14 is also oligotrophic but a shallow setting on the eastern side of the Florida Straits (see Cuvelier et al., 2008). Other environmental sequences from the Atlantic Ocean were obtained during the Atlantic Meridional Transect (AMT 15, see also Zwiglmaier et al., 2007), which extended from 48°N [south-west (SW) of the UK] to 40°S (SW of Cape Town, South Africa). Environmental sequences from the Mediterranean Sea were collected in late summer along a Mediterranean transect sampled during the PROSOPE cruise in 1999 (Garczarek et al., 2007), from high nutrient levels in the Morocco upwelling to strong phosphorus limitation in the eastern most basin. Although half of the genetic libraries were built using a PCR approach biased towards green algae, dinoflagellate sequences were retrieved in both data sets (the majority of them were retrieved using general eukaryotic primers). We also used a PCR approach biased towards MALV Group II (using the specific primer ALV01) that we compared with the use of general eukaryotic primers from a coastal site (English Channel, France). Most of genetic libraries were built on very small size fractions (less than 2–3 μm), although some were processed on larger size fractions and even after incubations (see genetic libraries from coastal sites from the Mediterranean Sea). This heterogeneous data set offered us a very large range of environmental sequence origins. In total, 6874 new environmental sequences were generated and screened for dinoflagellate sequences.

**Alveolate 18S rRNA gene sequence data set**

The completed data set comprised 43655 eukaryotic 18S rRNA gene sequences obtained either from GenBank or from the environmental clone libraries described above. From marine environments, 351 environmental clone libraries were analysed with major contribution from sites (Table 2) in the Atlantic (Díez et al., 2001a; Countway et al., 2007; Not et al., 2007), Indian (Not et al., 2008), Arctic (Lovejoy et al., 2006; Stoekel et al., 2007) and Pacific (Moon-van der Staay et al., 2001) Oceans, Mediterranean Sea (Viprey et al., 2008), Antarctic (López-García et al., 2001), as well as several coastal sites (Massana et al., 2004; Romari and Vaulot, 2004; Medlin et al., 2006; Worden, 2006). These encompassed a range of marine habitats including the photic zone, sediments, hydrothermal vents and anoxic ecosystems (Table 2). Terrestrial and continental ecosystems were also included.
Table 1. Description of the sampling sites and characteristics of clone libraries constructed for this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment and cruise</th>
<th>Station and Site</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Code library</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sample details (μm)</th>
<th>Total number of clones with insert screened</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>St 1</td>
<td>38°08'N</td>
<td>14-Sep-99</td>
<td>C1-30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>3°16'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>3°18'W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>37°00'N</td>
<td>16-Sep-99</td>
<td>C3-5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>0°18'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>3°30'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>36°47'N</td>
<td>18-Sep-99</td>
<td>C5-5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>13°32'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>1°55'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>33°00'N</td>
<td>20-Sep-99</td>
<td>C7-5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>22°02'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>1°43'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>41°00'N</td>
<td>28-Sep-99</td>
<td>C9-5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>10°43'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>6°45'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>43°38'N</td>
<td>30-Sep-99</td>
<td>C11-5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>7°82'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>0°48'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>48°45'N</td>
<td>21-Aug-01</td>
<td>RA1</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>ALV01- Euk329r</td>
<td>&gt;12</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>0°00'W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>18°00'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>41°23'N</td>
<td>28-Feb-01</td>
<td>RA2</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>ALV01- Euk329r</td>
<td>&gt;12</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>2°09'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>10°50'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>41°40'N</td>
<td>06-Nov-01</td>
<td>RA3</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>ALV01- Euk329r</td>
<td>&gt;12</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>0°28'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>18°00'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>31°02'N</td>
<td>09-Sep-99</td>
<td>CU-30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>10°03'W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>18°00'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>48°74'N</td>
<td>19-Sep-04</td>
<td>AMT15-1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>7°08'W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>17°83'N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>20°83'E</td>
<td>04-Oct-04</td>
<td>AMT15-15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>23°56'S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>17°49'W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>37°83'S</td>
<td>18-Oct-04</td>
<td>AMT15-27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1°23'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>24°00'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>48°74'N</td>
<td>19-Sep-04</td>
<td>AMT15-33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>7°08'W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>17°83'N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>20°83'E</td>
<td>04-Oct-04</td>
<td>AMT15-33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>23°56'S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>17°49'W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU792921 to EU848494 to EU48495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td></td>
<td>37°83'S</td>
<td>18-Oct-04</td>
<td>AMT15-33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Euk328f-CHLO02</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1°23'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>24°00'E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment and cruise</th>
<th>Station and Site</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Code library</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sample details (µm)</th>
<th>Total number of clones with insert screened</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida Straits, Atlantic Ocean Coastal euphotic zone</td>
<td>Station 1</td>
<td>25°30′N 80°04′W</td>
<td>31-Mar-05</td>
<td>FS01B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Euk328f-Euk329r</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU818567 to EU836597</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Straits, Atlantic Ocean Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td>Station 4</td>
<td>25°30′N 79°57′W</td>
<td>31-Mar-05</td>
<td>FS04E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Euk328f-Euk329r</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU818078 to EU836612</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargasso Sea, Atlantic Ocean Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td>Bermuda Atlantic Time-Series Station</td>
<td>31°39′N 64°37′W</td>
<td>29-May-05</td>
<td>OC413BATS_O</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Euk328f-Euk329r</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU817966 to EU818077 and EU836615</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargasso Sea, Atlantic Ocean Oceanic euphotic zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>35°09′N 66°33′W</td>
<td>05-Jun-05</td>
<td>OC413NSS_O</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Euk328f-Euk329r</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession numbers from EU817882 to EU817965 + EU836616 to EU836617</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of clones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For further information on the primers used, see also Table S2. Accession numbers are provided for dinoflagellate sequences only.
Clones libraries, mostly from freshwater, sediments and soils. Taken together, this resulted in 4844 dinoflagellate sequences from these environmental data sets, with 1164 and 2435 belonging to MALV groups I and II respectively (Table 2). An additional 727 sequences were derived from dinoflagellate cultures including a few from the amplification of single cells (see Table 2), most of these belonging to marine photosynthetic dinoflagellates (a bias already pointed out by Murray et al., 2005). The mean length of the dinoflagellate sequences in the database was 950 nucleotides (range 108–1841 bp).

### Phylogenetic Analyses

Dinoflagellate sequences longer than 1600 bp (1018 sequences in total, 291 retained for the final tree, see the complete list of sequences in the Table S1) were used to perform phylogenetic analyses. Sequences belonging to the major Alveolata lineages were also included (Fig. 1). In order to avoid long-branch attraction artefacts, highly divergent alveolate groups were removed after preliminary phylogenetic analyses by neighbour joining (NJ). These divergent groups included Haemosporida (Apicomplexa including the human parasite Plasmodium), two ciliate groups, Mesodiniidae (Myrionecta and Mesodinium) and Ellobiopsidae (Silberman et al., 2004). Highly divergent dinoflagellates, such as members of Noctilucales and Oxyrrhis marina, were also excluded. Bayesian phylogeny, using 1137 positions in the 18S rRNA gene sequence alignments, delineated four primary lineages within the Alveolata (Fig. 1), the ciliates, the Apicomplexa, the Perkinsea and the Dinophyceae. As previously observed (Leander and Keeling, 2003; Groisillier et al., 2006), ciliates fell in the basal region of the tree, followed by Apicomplexa (Fig. 1). Perkinsea are the closest relatives of dinoflagellates. As frequently found in 18S rRNA gene phylogenies, many of these backbone nodes did not retain bootstrap support. At the basal part of Dinophyceae, several distinct taxa were placed in the Bayesian analysis, here termed Syndiniales groups I–V, as a monophyletic lineage (Fig. 1). The general tree topology obtained with maximum likelihood (ML) was similar (data not shown). The existence of environmental MALV Group I and II was previously described (López-García et al., 2001; Moon-van der Staay et al., 2001), but here renamed (Syndiniales groups I and II) given more definitive placement within the Syndiniales. The genetic diversity and clade nomenclature of Syndiniales groups I and II are described in detail in separate analyses using partial sequences (Figs 2 and 3). For the definition of clades, we modified the general criteria chosen by Groisillier and colleagues (2006) so that a clade must (i) contain environmental sequences from at least 2 different clone libraries, and (ii) be bootstrap supported, at the defining nodes.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin of the sequences (number of clone libraries considered)</th>
<th>Number of 18S rRNA gene sequences</th>
<th>Number of sequences belonging to dinoflagellates</th>
<th>Number of sequences per dinoflagellate group</th>
<th>Number of sequences per Syndiniales groups</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultures and isolates (50)</td>
<td>21 795</td>
<td>737 (3.4%)</td>
<td>35 (1.8%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environments (22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field from the water column (16)</td>
<td>5 711</td>
<td>35 (0.6%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils (39)</td>
<td>3 361</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediments (16)</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others: aerosol, fermentor, gut communities... (23)</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24 357</td>
<td>5571 (12.8%)</td>
<td>1164 (20.9%)</td>
<td>2435 (43.7%)</td>
<td>1807 (31.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Including analysis of single cells.

Env. means environmental sequences. The number of clone libraries screened for each environment is given in brackets in the first column. In columns 4–7, brackets indicate, as a percentage, the number of sequences obtained for a particular dinoflagellate group as compared with the corresponding environment (column 3). Core dinoflagellates means all dinoflagellates except (150 clone libraries, mostly from freshwater, sediments and soils).
Fig. 1. Phylogeny of dinoflagellates using near-complete 18S rRNA gene sequences. Left: Bayesian phylogeny of alveolates based on analysis of 291 near full-length 18S rRNA gene sequences. Five sequences of Bolidophyceae (stramenopiles) were used as an outgroup. Gblock retained 1137 positions for the phylogenetic analyses. Bootstrap values, given at the principal nodes of the tree, correspond to neighbour-joining and maximum parsimony analyses respectively (1000 replicates, values >60% shown). For neighbour-joining bootstrapping, a GTR + G + I model was selected with the following parameters: Lset Base = 0.2757 0.1787 0.2494, Nat = 6, Rmat = 1.0746 2.9600 1.2514 1.0820 4.7079). Rates = gamma, Shape = 0.7091, Pinvar = 0.2350. The scale bar corresponds to 10% sequence divergence. Inset (at the right): Details of groups III to VI, based upon analysis of partial (500 bp) sequences (59 sequences including outgroups). The scale bar corresponds to 2% sequence divergence. The two sequences in grey belonging to Group IV are from hydrothermal vents.

by values greater than 60% in NJ and maximum parsimony (MP) analysis. Some exceptions to these rules were made as detailed below. The mean sequence identity within a clade was 87% for Syndiniales Group I (ranging from 76.6% for clade 5 to 91.9% for clade 7) and 93.5% for Syndiniales Group II (ranging from 80.8% for clade 8 to 99.4% for clades 35 and 43, see also Fig. S1). Syndiniales Group I contained eight different clades (Fig. 2). Clades 1–5 were described previously by Grosilier and colleagues (2006), while three new clades emerged from this study. All of these eight clades are supported by bootstrap values >60%, except for Clade 3, which is only supported by MP, bootstrap analyses (74%). Nevertheless, the tree topology is identical with the two different methods (NJ and MP) and minimal sequence identities within this clade are inside the range of other clades belonging to this group (see Fig. S1). Ichthyodinium chaberi, a parasite of fish eggs, belongs to Group I Clade 3, while Duboscquella spp., a parasite of tintinnides, belongs to Group I Clade 4 (Harada et al., 2007). Syndiniales sequences retrieved by single-cell PCR on radiolarian isolates (Dolven et al., 2007) belong to Clade 1 (DQ916408, though not in the tree because this sequence contained several nucleotide ambiguities) and Clade 2 (DQ916404–DQ916407 and DQ916410). Clades 5–8 are only composed of environmental sequences. Some sequences within clades 1–4 (highlighted in grey in Fig. 2) were retrieved exclusively from suboxic and anoxic ecosystems. Together, clades 1 and 5 were the most commonly retrieved from environmental clone libraries, representing ≥75% of sequences belonging to Syndiniales Group I.
Fig. 2. Phylogeny of *Syndiniales* Group I. Neighbour-joining phylogeny of Alveolate Group I, based upon the analysis of 275 partial sequences, 731 bp in length (including outgroups, not shown). A GTR + G model was selected using the following parameters: Lset Base = (0.2627 0.1810 0.2622), Nst = 6, Rmat = (1.0000 2.8775 1.0000 4.6908), Rates = gamma, Shape = 0.5006, Pinvar = (0). Bootstrap values, given at the principal nodes of the different clades, correspond to neighbour-joining and maximum parsimony analyses respectively (1000 replicates, values > 60% shown). The scale bar corresponds to 10% sequence divergence. The tree was cut in order to better separate the major clades in the figure. Sequences in grey are from hydrothermal and suboxic ecosystems.
Fig. 3. Phylogeny of Syndiniales Group II. Neighbour-joining phylogeny of Alveolate Group II, based upon analysis of 423 partial sequences, 738 bp in length and centred at the 5' end (including outgroups, not shown). A GTR + G model was selected using the following parameters: Lset Base = 0.2736 0 1700 0.2545, Nst = 6, Rmat = 1.0000 3.3780 1.2804 1.2804 4.9683). Rates = gamma, Shape = 0.6108, Pirvar = 0.1155). Bootstrap values, given at the principal nodes of the different clades, correspond to neighbour-joining and maximum parsimony analyses respectively (1000 replicates, values > 60% shown). The asterisk '*' indicates singletons (sequences that are not included in any clades). The scale bar corresponds to 5% sequence divergence. The tree was cut in order to better separate the major clades in the figure. Inset: a small portion of the neighbour-joining tree obtained using the 3' end of the 18S rRNA gene of Alveolate Group II, based upon analysis of 365 partial sequences, 617 bp in length (including outgroups). The scale bar corresponds to 10% sequence divergence. Bootstrap values correspond to neighbour-joining analyses (1000 replicates). Sequences in grey are from hydrothermal and suboxic ecosystems.
By comparison, *Syndiniales* Group II is genetically more diverse than Group I. In addition to clades 1–16 (see Groisillier et al., 2006), 28 new clades emerged from the present study (clades 17–44, Fig. 3). Some of these clades are not supported by bootstrap analyses obtained with one of the two phylogenetic methods tested (clades 2, 6, 10–11, 14, 16, 23, 25 and 26), but in such cases the tree topologies are identical between the two methods. Clade 10 and Clade 11 described by Groisillier and colleagues (2006) are now merged into a single clade (herein named Clade 10+11), which includes clone BL10320.32 (a singleton in the work of Groisillier et al., 2006). However, Clade 10+11 is not supported by bootstrap analyses. Numerous environmental sequences displaying long branches (labelled ‘close to 10+11’ in Fig. 3) also appear to be closely related to Clade 10+11.

Only a single genus within *Syndiniales* Group II has been formally described, the *Amoebophrya*. All *Amoebophrya* sequences obtained from cultures and single-cell analyses formed a monophyletic group as recognized by Groisillier and colleagues (2006). This monophyletic group now also includes clades 1–5 as well as clades 25, 33, 39, 41 and 42 (Fig. 3). Recently, Kim and colleagues (2008) recognized nine different subgroups within *Amoebophrya*. Subgroup 1 (from the analysis of Kim and colleagues) corresponds primarily with our Clade 1 (except sequence AF290077 which belongs to the novel Clade 25) while subgroups 3, 4, 5 and 6 correspond to clades 4, 3, 5 and 2 respectively. The recognition of subgroup 2 (identified in Kim et al., 2008) is probably skewed by inclusion of a sequence we identified to be a chimera (DQ186527). Thus, sequence AY260468, obtained from the direct amplification of *Ceratium tripos* infected with *Amoebophrya*, likely remains a singleton. Sequence AY295690 (subgroup 8) is also a probable chimera, whereas sequences included within subgroups 7 and 9 belong to Clade 33 in the present study. Sequence DQ916402, obtained from the direct amplification of a cell of spumellarida *Hexaxonium giganteum* (Radiolaria), is closely related to Clade 6. This sequence was removed from our global analyses due to the high number of nucleotide ambiguities it contained. Clades 15 and 9 are primarily composed of environmental sequences from anoxic or suboxic ecosystems (highlighted in grey in Fig. 3). Both clades also include sequences retrieved from deep-sea methane cold seeps (DSGM-16 and 17, Takishita et al., 2007) and deep oceanic waters (Countway et al., 2007), but these sequences were not included in this analysis due to their short length. Clades originally containing only sequences from coastal systems (i.e. clades 2, 4, 5, 8, 12 and 13; see Groisillier et al., 2006) are shown here to also include clones from other oceanic regions, including oligotrophic waters. This highlights the importance of gaining sequence representation from a broader array of geographical locations. Finally, in terms of the number of sequences, the most commonly found clades in rank order were clades 10+11, 7, 6, 1, 19, 3, 22 and 16.

Other *Syndiniales* groups (III–V) also emerged from the present study (detailed in Fig. 1). *Syndiniales* Group III contains 71 environmental sequences, including clone OLI11005 (AJ402349) that was previously placed outside Group II (see Groisillier et al., 2006), as well as numerous environmental sequences retrieved from various oceanic surface waters (Mediterranean Sea, Indian Ocean, Sargasso Sea), coastal waters [Southern Taiwan Strait, Blanes Bay (Spain) and the English Channel] and from a supersulfidic anoxic fjord (DQ310226). *Syndiniales* Group IV contains the genera *Syndinium* and *Hematodinium*, five closely related environmental sequences, a sequence retrieved from the Mediterranean Sea, E1–80m,27, closely related to *Syndinium turbo* and a clade allied with the genus *Hematodinium* and composed of sequences from the Sargasso Sea (EF172791 and DQ918583) and from deep sea hydrothermal vents (DQ504327 and DQ504356). *Syndiniales* Group V contains 67 environmental sequences, all collected within the euphotic zone, but includes clones retrieved from very different oceanic ecosystems (Indian Ocean, Atlantic Ocean, Mediterranean Sea and Sargasso Sea). Sequence BL000921.23 from Blanes also belongs to *Syndiniales* Group V (not included here due to sequence length dissimilarities). Finally, two partial environmental sequences could not be assigned to any specific group: EU793524 (E9–65m.123) and EU818559 (F14DEC+), but phylogenetic analysis placed them closely related, although separated from these *Syndiniales* groups.

**Ecological distributions**

Dinoflagellate sequences represent less than 1% of environmental sequences from continental ecosystems (aquatic and terrestrial), whereas they represent close to 30% of the sequences obtained from marine ecosystems. With respect to aquatic environments (both marine and freshwater), dinoflagellates sequences as a whole were obtained primarily from the plankton, as opposed to other environments such as sediments (Table 2). Sequences belonging to *Syndiniales* groups I and II were absent in samples collected to date from continental ecosystems, however, they represent the largest portion of dinoflagellate sequences from marine systems. *Syndiniales* Group II alone represented about half of all environmental dinoflagellate sequences (Table 2).

We divided marine ecosystems included in our sequence database into various categories: (i) anoxic and suboxic ecosystems, (ii) ecosystems with hydrothermal activities, (iii) sediments, or (iv) the water column.
Fig. 4. The relative contribution of dinoflagellate sequences within environmental clone libraries. Left: relative contribution (in percentage) from (i) low oxygenated (L.O.) ecosystems (sediments or deep waters), (ii) Hydrothermal (H) vent ecosystems (collected directly in the hydrothermal chimney, on collectors or on sediments close to the chimney), (iii) sediments (S) that can be oxic or anoxic, deep or more coastal, and (iv) plankton from the water column (W). For this last category, two additional conditions were compared (right side of Fig. 4): whether the water sample was collected from the euphotic or the aphotic layer. N = Total number of environmental sequences considered.

(wherever possible, we also specified whether sequences were derived from the aphytic or euphotic zone; Fig. 4). In cases where these categories overlapped (e.g. anoxic sediments collected from deep hydrothermal vents, or anoxic water columns), environmental sequences were included in both categories. Considering all dinoflagellate sequences, Syndiniales Group II sequences were more abundant in clone libraries derived from the water column (planktonic ecosystems) than in other habitats, while the relative importance of the remaining dinoflagellate sequences (including Syndiniales Group I) was greater in anoxic environments, hydrothermal vents and sediments (Fig. 4). Within planktonic ecosystems, the relative contribution to clone libraries of Syndiniales Group I and II compared with other dinoflagellate sequences was similar between euphotic and aphytic waters (Fig. 4).

Environmental sequences derived from the euphotic zone, both coastal and oceanic waters, have generally been recovered using three different primer sets Euk328/Euk329, EukA/EukB and EukA/EukB′ (for primer references see Table S2). Although the contribution of dinoflagellate sequences to the total number of clones is very similar using either the EukA/EukB or EukA/EukB′ primer sets, the relative contribution of Group II is higher using the Euk328f/Euk329r primer set (Fig. 5). However, the distribution of Syndiniales Group I and II clades within the euphotic zone, as evidenced from these clone libraries, is quite similar with the different primer sets (Fig. 6). According to clone library composition, sunlit surface marine waters are dominated by Syndiniales Group I clades 1, 4 and 5, and Group II clades 1, 6, 7 and 10+11 (Fig. 6). Comparing sequences obtained using the same primer sets, Syndiniales clade distributions within the aphytic zone are quite different from surface waters, mainly dominated by Syndiniales Group I clades 1, 2 and 3 and by Syndiniales Group II clades 6 and 7 (Fig. 6).

Discussion

Our phylogenetic analysis of available 18S rRNA gene sequences from described parasitic genera (Amoebocephalum, Ichthyodinium, Duboscquia, Syndinium, Hematodinium) and many environmental sequences, resolved for the first time the Syndiniales as a monophyletic lineage at the base of the more classical dinoflagellates. The basal position is not supported by bootstrap analyses, but the same topology was generated by Bayesian and ML phylogenies. This topology agrees with historical descriptions of the Duboscquiodinida as a tribe (a taxonomic category between a genus and a subfamily) by Cachon (1964), and Syndiniales as an Order by Loeblich (1976). However, at higher taxonomic levels, Syndiniales are still difficult to place. Historically, Syndiniales have been retained within dinoflagellates because their short-lived dispersal stages, called dinospores, have a classic naked gymnoid morphology while also their nucleus has the chromatin condensed during part of the life cycle, like the dinokaryon of dinoflagellates. Syndiniales have trichocysts, a typical feature for Dinophyceae. However, Loeblich (1976) separated them from the rest of Dinophyceae (named also ‘core’ dinoflagellate) and placed Syndiniales inside the class Syndiniophyceae due to peculiarities of nuclear division in Syndiniales (Ris and Kubai, 1974; Soyer, 1974). Nevertheless, for all Dinophyceae and Syndiniales, chro-
mosomes stay anchored to the persistant nuclear envelope during mitotic division, but their segregation is driven by microtubules through the nuclear envelope in Dinophyceae while centrioles participate in the formation of the mitotic apparatus in Syndiniales (Holland, 1974; Ris and Kubai, 1974). Another Syndiniales peculiarity is their low chromosome number, 4–10 for Syndiniales compared with >100 for some Dinophyceae members such as Gymnodinium and Ceratium (see Loeblich, 1976). Taking all this together, it seems reasonable that these last two features (peculiarities in nuclear division and low chromosome number) are derived from an initial dinoflagellate model (Xiao-Ping and Jing-Yan, 1986), being forced by the obligately parasitoc lifestyle of Syndiniales. Controversially, some recent phylogenies using 28S rRNA gene sequences, have placed the Syndiniales Ichthyodinium chaberladi (Group I Clade 3) within dinoflagellates (Gestal et al., 2006) and an environmental sequence belonging to Group I clade 1 close to Perkinsus (Massana et al., 2008). More sequences are needed to obtain a LSU rRNA phylogeny robust enough to provide accurate affiliations for the Syndiniales. Protein coding genes, such as actin and β- and γ-tubulins may also be a better alternative to resolve basal branches of the dinoflagellate lineage (Saldarriaga et al., 2003), including several deep genera such as Perkinsus, Oxyrhis and Noctiluca, which are almost impossible to place using phylogenies based upon ribosomal genes.

Within Syndiniales, five main families have been described: the Sphaeriparidae and the Coccidinidae (no sequences available to date for both), the Amoebophryidae, the Duboscquellidae and the Syndinidae (Table S3). As Ichthyodinium (Syndinidae) and Duboscquella (Duboscquellidae) are clearly allied within Group I by 18S rRNA gene analyses, while Hematodinium and Syndinium (Syndinidae) are members of Group IV and quite distinct from Group I, it is likely that the taxonomy of Syndinidae will soon be modified. Nevertheless, it is possible that Amoebophryidae will remain synonymous with Syndiniales Group II. To date, seven different species of Amoebophrya have been described (see Table S3). However, this clearly does not reflect the genetic diversity observed in Group II; herein we find at least 44 distinct clades. Unfortunately, only one of the described species, A. ceratii, has been genetically characterized to date. Amoebophrya ceratii is a parasitoid of many (if not all) dinoflagellates, including heterotrophic species (for a review see Park et al., 2004) and was most recently reported as being a ‘complex species’ rather than a real species (see Coats et al., 1996). Currently, available SSU rRNA gene sequences from this complex species are from strains able to infect photosynthetic dinoflagellates, in accordance with the fact that this group is largely retrieved from the euphotic zone in environmental studies. Nevertheless, A. ceratii was also described as being able to parasitize other Amoebophrya species (e.g. it is frequently observed in A. leptodisci) or other Syndiniales (such as the genus Keppenodinium; see Cachon 1964). Consequently, despite recent additions of a substantial number of new sequences, all Amoebophrya SSU rRNA gene sequences fall within a monophyletic lineage, which contains at least 10 different clades. However, the taxonomic correspondence of each clade is still not clear. Within Hematodinium and Syndinium, SSU rRNA gene sequences from different species are almost identical, and species can only be distinguished using more variable genetic regions (ITS, see Hudson and Adlard, 1996;
All described Syndiniales are parasitoids, and obligately kill their host. A potential exception is Sphaeripara catenata, which in some cases only causes castration of its host, but not death (Cachon and Cachon-Enjumet, 1964). Described Syndiniales generally complete their life cycle in less than 3 days, leading to the release of hundreds of free-living dispersive dinospores. Environmental sequences obtained from genetic libraries likely result from such dinospores. In general, Syndiniales produce two different types of dinospores, the micro and macrospores that can both be very small (from 1 to 12 μm in diameter depending on the species). There is a general agreement that dinospores can only survive a few days after their release (e.g. Coats and Park, 2002). Hence, the fact that they systematically dominate clone libraries from coastal and oceanic waters, whatever the season considered, suggests that their production is fairly constant. Alternatively, it could mean that other more resistant planktonic stages are produced. To our knowledge, cyst production has never been reported within Syndiniales, with the notable exception of cyst-like cells described in natural populations of Duboscquella cachoni infecting the tintinnid Eutintinnus pectinis (Coats, 1988). In this particular case, cyst-like formation was completely independent of dinospore production, and the cysts formed were non-motile. Within dinoflagellates, permanent cysts are produced by the fusion of two gametes. Sexuality, issued from different individuals (anisogamous and heterothallic), has only been observed once in Coccodinidae, with the production of a planozygote-like body (Chatton and Biecheler, 1936). Nevertheless, cyst production was not observed.

The recent reports of Amoebophrya spp. in freshwater systems (Lefèvre et al., 2008) are based on placement of two environmental sequences incorrectly labelled uncultured Amoebophrya Clone E and F (Di Giuseppe and Dini, 2004). These sequences are in fact closely related to the genus Cryptocaryon (Ciliates, Protosmatea). Thus, to date, environmental sequences of Syndiniales have been retrieved solely from marine ecosystems. This supports the ‘marine-ness’ of this group, together with the fact that all described Syndiniales species are marine. In contrast, other unicellular alveolate parasites, such as Perkinsea, Colpodellids or even other parasitic dinoflagellates, have both marine and continental species. This is interesting given that almost all known Syndiniales hosts have species well adapted to continental ecosystems (within ciliates, dinoflagellates, cercozoa and crabs).

Although the distribution of Syndiniales is relatively homogeneous at the group level (note their similar contribution to both euphotic and aphotic zones), communities are quite different at the clade level. Some clades are uniformly distributed vertically through the water column (e.g. Group I clade 1, Group II clade 6), whereas others (e.g. Group I clades 2 and 3, Group II clade 7) are more prevalent in aphotic layers. We speculate that these ‘deeper’ clades are able to parasitize well-known deep planktonic organisms such as Phaeodarea (Cercozoa), Acantharea (Radiolaria) and Polycystinea (Radiolaria). Recent analyses of environmental sequences belonging to these groups highlight the increasing contribution of radiolarian environmental sequences with depth (Not et al., 2007), in particular sequences belonging to Spumellarida (Polycystinea). Interestingly, radiolarians are also exclusively marine, and well known to be oceanic, or blue-water, organisms. Hence, both Syndiniales and radiolarians may have shared a common evolutionary history inhabiting similar ecosystems as discussed by Not and colleagues (2007). Sequences retrieved from single radiolarian cells (Dolven et al., 2007), likely infected by Syndiniales, belong to both Group I and Group II (Fig. 3). Nevertheless, it is difficult to assign an identity to these sequences as Syndiniales able to infect radiolarians are described within three of the five described families (the Amoebophryidae, the Duboscquellidae and the Syndinidae). Much more information on host specificity is provided by data from the genus Amoebophrya. Phylogenies of Amoebophrya clades (Fig. 3) indicate that host–parasite co-evolution is not a central feature driving the observed diversity. Parasites of the same host genus, but of different species, belong to completely separate clades. In fact, within the ‘A. ceratii complex’, host specificity as assessed in cultures, is highly strain dependent, with some being extremely specific (Coats and Park, 2002), while others have broader host ranges (Kim, 2006). This fact could mask clear co-evolutionary patterns. The same conclusion can be drawn when the entire Syndiniales order is considered. For example, all described Syndiniales that operate as parasitoids of metazoans are not closely related. The genus Ichthyodinium, a parasite of fish eggs, is more closely related to parasites that infect ciliates (i.e. Duboscquella) belonging to Group I than to Group IV, which contains Syndinium and Hematodinium (two genera which infect metazoans). Thus, even between groups, Syndiniales and their hosts do not seem to co-evolve. Consequently, we expect that parasites within Syndiniales are generalists or opportunists with respect to host range. This is also congruent with the detection of clades widely distributed in all explored marine ecosystems. Nevertheless, the presence of more specialized clades or subclades, related with depth or specifically retrieved from extreme environments, such as Group I clades 3 and 4, and Group II clade 9 and 15, confirms that specific interactions may exist.
Conclusions

Based on the integration of a large number of environmental sequences, we propose a new phylogeny for *Syndiniales*. This order should be considered a monophyletic sister group of the rest of *Dinophyceae* based upon 18S rRNA gene phylogenies. The *Syndiniales* are composed of five main groups, which include environmental sequences formerly referred to as novel marine alveolate groups I and II. All *Syndiniales* species described to date are parasitic marine organisms. The exclusively marine lifestyle of this order as a whole, including sequences from uncultured organisms, is confirmed by the fact that their 18S rRNA gene sequences have not been retrieved from terrestrial or freshwater PCR surveys, but rather come solely from marine ecosystems. *Syndiniales* appear to colonize all the marine habitats investigated thus far, from oceanic surface waters to sediments. Some clades are likely adapted to fairly specific habitats (and/or hosts that reside only in specific habitats). However, these parasites and their hosts do not appear to share co-evolutionary trends. This suggests that at least some *Syndiniales* are highly opportunistic, with a capacity to infect very different marine hosts, from many trophic levels within marine food webs. Even so, we cannot completely exclude that some members of the *Syndiniales* may have retained specific ancestral traits still found among the different alveolate lineages, e.g. phagotrophy and/or the inheritance of photosynthetic genes. In fact, proteins characteristic of secondary endosymbiotic plastids have recently been detected from both the non-photosynthetic genera *Oxyrrhis* and *Perkinsus* (Matsuzaki et al., 2008; Slamovits and Keeling, 2008). Hence, the ecological success of the *Syndiniales* may yet have a more surprising and complex explanation. That said, it is certainly still the case that the overall role of parasitism in marine systems requires further investigation and incorporation into food web and carbon flow models.

Experimental procedures

Environmental sampling and library processing

Materials and methods for each of the libraries published herein varied by site. Thus, details are provided separately for each of the regions sampled. See also Table 1 for additional details on the clone libraries constructed.

**English Channel, France (RA).** Ten litres of surface sea water was sampled weekly at the ASTAN site (SOMLIT station, English Channel, France, see http://www.domino.u-bordeaux.fr/somlit_national/) from the end of June through to the middle of September 2004. Water samples were size-fractionated using a peristaltic pump (with the flow rate fixed at 100 ml min⁻¹) through sequential 47-mm-diameter polycarbonate filters (12 and 3 μm, Osmonics Poretics Products) in filter housings connected in series, terminated by a 0.2-μm-pore-size Sterivex unit (Millipore). Following sample collection, filters were then submerged in DNA lysis buffer (0.75 M sucrose, 40 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8), immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. DNA was extracted using a 3% (w/v) CTAB (Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) extraction procedure (Doyle and Doyle, 1987). PCR conditions for amplifying the 18S rRNA gene were as described in Moon-van der Staay and colleagues (2001). PCR products were cloned using the TOPO-TA cloning kit (Invitrogen). Clones (96 randomly selected) were partially sequenced using the primer Euk528f for RA1 and the primer ALV01 (for primer references see Table S2) for both RA2 and RA3 on an ABI Prism 3100 (Applied Biosystems).

**Barcelona Harbour, Mediterranean Sea, Spain (BAFRACT).** Fifty litres of sea water was collected on 28 February 2001 from Barcelona harbour (Spain) and pre-filtered through a 50 μm mesh. On return to the laboratory (less than one hour), the sample was then fractionated through seven different pore-sizes (20, 14, 10, 5, 3, 1 and 0.6 μm), using similar filter housings connected in series as described above. Sample storage and DNA extraction were as described above. Genetic diversity in each size fraction was determined by DGGE (not shown), as described by Díez and colleagues (2001b). Clone libraries were performed for size fractions displaying the most ‘contrasting’ DGGE band patterns. PCR conditions were as in Díez and colleagues (2001a). Cloning was as described above. Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis was used to detect polymorphisms among the retrieved clones. Briefly, PCR products were digested with 1 U μl⁻¹ of restriction enzyme HaeIII (Gibco BRL) for 6–12 h at 37°C. The digested products were separated by electrophoresis at 80 V for 2–3 h in a 2.5% low-melting-point agarose gel. One clone per unique RFLP pattern was partially sequenced using the internal primer Euk528f. Sequencing was performed by Qiagen Genomics Sequencing Services (Germany).

**Blanes Bay.** Surface water was collected in Blanes Bay (Catalan coast, north-western Mediterranean Sea). Sea water was rapidly transported to the laboratory (less than 2 h), and gently filtered by gravity through 2- and 5-μm-pore-size polycarbonate filters. One litre of each size fraction was incubated both in the light [around 100 (μmol quanta) m⁻² s⁻¹] and in the dark (four bottles in total). All the incubations were carried out at 20°C, close to in situ temperature (18°C). Samples (100 ml) were filtered through 0.2-μm-pore-size Durapore filters for DNA analysis over a course of 5 days. DNA was then extracted following the protocol described in Díez and colleagues (2001b). Abundance and genetic diversity of eukaryotes were monitored by epifluorescence microscopy and DGGE (using the same protocol as described above, data not show). 18S rRNA genes were amplified from the initial day and after 4 days of incubation both in the light and dark. PCR products were cloned using the TOPO-TA cloning kit. Clones were sequenced by the Qiagen Genomics Sequencing Services (Germany).
Atlantic Meridional Transect (AMT15). Both the sampling strategy, DNA extraction procedure and the PCR amplification protocol used have been previously published (Zwirglmaier et al., 2007). Cloning was as described above. Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis was used to screen for distinct inserts by digesting with HaeIII in a total volume of 20 μl using 5 U of HaeIII at 37°C for 2 h. Fragments were resolved by electrophoresis in a 2.5% agarose gel at 60 V for 3 h. At least two representatives of each RFLP pattern were sequenced in entirety following purification of the PCR product using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), on an ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems).

Florida Straits and Sargasso Sea. Sea water was collected from both the surface and deep chlorophyll maximum on three transect cruises across the Straits of Florida in 2005 as described in Cuvelier and colleagues (2008). Briefly, 1 l samples were first gravity filtered through 47 mm diameter, 2-μm-pore-size filters (GE Osmonics, Minnetonka, Minnesota, USA) and then onto a 0.2-μm-pore-size Supor filter (Pall Gelman, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA) using approximately 5 psi vacuum. Filters were placed in cryovials and frozen in liquid nitrogen. DNA was extracted using the DNeasy kit according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA). PCR conditions have been published elsewhere (Cuvelier et al., 2008). The PCR product was ligated into vector pCR2.1 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and transformed. Clones were then sequenced on an ABI 3730xl sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

Samples were also collected on a cruise from Woods Hole, MA USA to the BATs. Two regions were sampled, BATs and a site north-west of BATs. Samples were collected and processed as for the Florida Straits clone libraries (as reported in Cuvelier et al., 2008).

Development of sequence database

The 18S rRNA gene database was built using all published environmental sequences deposited in GenBank up to December 2007. We also integrated the data sets generated herein and a number of other libraries which are being published independently, but without specific attention to the analysis of Alveolata sequences. The complete list of sequences used in this study and their annotation is available upon request (Excel file).

Sequence group assignments

The software package, KeyDNAtools, was used to (i) detect sequences belonging to dinoflagellates within a large database including more than 42000 eukaryotic SSU rRNA gene sequences; (ii) remove potential chimeras; and (iii) automatically assign sequences to a specific clade. Details of the software development will be described elsewhere. Briefly, environmental sequences were annotated using small oligonucleotides ‘probes’ (15 bp length), named ‘keys’, which were generated in silico. The specificity of each key, from the Order to the clade level (where possible) was automatically deduced from a reference database containing as many eukaryotic lineages as possible as outgroup and at least two sequences from each taxa created within marine dinoflagellates. In this study, more than 110000 keys were generated, each being specific at least to the level of Order. Annotations of 18S rRNA genes were deduced from the specificity of each key when they matched a sequence. As an example, sequence EF172938 was annotated by 175 different keys, providing converging annotation at the order level and covering positions 1–1289 along the sequences (Table 3). Thus, this sequence was considered as a Syndiniidae, Dino-Group II, and belonging to Dino-Group II-Clade 7 (this last annotation is provided by 47 keys). The 18S rRNA gene sequences belonging to the majority of Dinophyceae were not variable enough to allow a clear separation of sequences even at the Order level. Thus, keys specific to most of the Dinophyceae are labelled as Dinophyceae at the Class and the Order levels (see annotation of sequence EU087283). Wherever possible, more precise information is given (e.g. at the Family, Genus, Species and Clade levels; see annotation of sequence EU087283). New groups/clades were often detected by conflicting annotation (caused by incorrect deduction of specificity by the keys) in a particular taxonomic field. Chimeras were detected when annotations conflicted for separate parts of an individual sequence. As an example (Table 3), sequence AJ965100 was detected as a chimera at the class level, a false gene sequence combining part of a Ciliophora (annotation provided by 25 keys covering positions 44–155) gene sequence with part of a Dinophyceae (31 keys covering positions 213–474) gene sequence. Automatic annotation of eukaryotic 18S rRNA environmental gene sequences using the final generated keys can be freely tested on the following web site: http://KeyDNAtools.com.

Phylogenetic analyses

Sequences were aligned using the slow and iterative refinement method FFT-NS-i with Mafft 5.8 software (Katoh et al., 2007). Using the BioEdit sequence editor (Hall, 1999) for visualization of the alignment, we deduced the secondary structures by hand using previously published studies (see for example Lange et al., 1996). For complete sequence alignments only, poorly aligned and highly variable regions of the alignments were automatically removed using Gblocks (Castresana, 2000) with the following parameters: allowing gaps in half positions and the minimum length of a block = 5. Different nested models of DNA substitution and associated parameters were tested using Modeltest v.3.06 (Posada and Crandall, 1998). Settings given by Modeltest were used to perform the NJ and the ML analyses. The NJ, ML and MP analyses were performed using PAUP 4.0b10 (Swoford, 2002). A heuristic search procedure using the tree bisection/ reconnection branch swapping algorithm (setting as in MP) was performed to find the optimal ML tree topology (with 70 000 re-arrangements). Bootstrap values for NJ and MP were estimated from 1000 replicates. For MP, the number of re-arrangements was limited to 5000 for each bootstrap replicate. Starting trees were obtained by randomized stepwise addition (number of replicates = 20). Additionally, we used Bayesian reconstruction for the analysis of complete sequences with MrBayes, v.3.0b4 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001). The GTR model of substitution was used, taking
into account a gamma-shaped distribution of the rates of substitution among sites. The chains were run for 1000000 generations. Trees were sampled every 100 generations. The first 5000 sampled trees, corresponding to the initial phase before the chains became stationary, were discarded (burn in).
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